

Attachment to Independent Case Review Report  
for CDRU # 1249 Case File # 95A-HQ-1036632.

Material Examiner Malone (RO)

Remarks:

After research it has been determined that the  
Incoming letter of request for Laboratory work  
is missing/incomplete from the case file at the  
time of review by the Independent Scientist.

CRM - 9164

# INDEPENDENT CASE REVIEW REPORT

Independent Review conducted by: Steve Robertson

Area(s) of Expertise: Hair and Fiber

Review commenced at: 3:30 PM (Time), 09 / 15 / 99 (Date)

File #: 95-1036632

Laboratory #(s): 20918041  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

## Examiner(s) & Symbols

|           | Reviewed                            | Not Reviewed             | Reviewed                 | Not Reviewed             |
|-----------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| <u>RQ</u> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| _____     | <input type="checkbox"/>            | _____                    | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| _____     | <input type="checkbox"/>            | _____                    | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

## Materials Reviewed

Trial testimony transcript(s) of: Michael Malone (2 transcripts)

Testimony Date(s): 4-30-93; 7-21-94 Pages: 1-21; 8-23

## Laboratory Report(s):

Laboratory Number: 20918041 Date: Nov 27, 1992  
Laboratory Number: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_  
Laboratory Number: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Examiner Bench Notes of: RQ and unknown technician

Laboratory Number: 20918041  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

Page 1 of 3  
Initials: SRM

CRM - 9165

Was any other material reviewed?    Yes                    X No

If yes, please identify and/or describe the material: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

### Results of Review

File #: 95-1036632

Item or Specimen # Reviewed: K1

#### Review of Laboratory Report(s) and Bench Notes:

Note: Numbered comments are required below or on additional pages for any "No" or "Unable to Determine" Responses

- 1) Did the examiner perform the appropriate tests in a scientifically acceptable manner, based on the methods, protocols, and analytic techniques available at the time of the original examination(s)?  
 Yes     No     Unable to Determine
- 2) Are the examination results set forth in the laboratory report(s) supported and adequately documented in the bench notes?  
 Yes     No     Unable to Determine

#### Review of Testimony:

Note: Numbered comments are required below or on additional pages for any "No" or "Unable to Determine" Responses

Transcript not available.

- 3) Testimony consistent with the laboratory report(s)?                     Yes     No     Unable to Determine
- 4) Testimony consistent with the bench notes?                                 Yes     No     Unable to Determine
- 5) Testimony within bounds of examiner's expertise?                         Yes     No     Unable to Determine

Page 2 of 3

Initials: SMA

Comments

(Set forth by above question #, if applicable.  
Use "Additional Comments" Sheet, if needed)

File #: 95-1036632

#2. The results are documented in the notes, however the notes are not dated and are in pencil. The technician did not initial the notes.

Review completed at: 4:00 PM (Time), 09 / 15 / 99 (Date)

Total time spent conducting review (to nearest 1/4 hour): 1/2 hour

I hereby certify that I conducted this review in an independent, unbiased manner and that the results of my review are fully documented on this report consisting of a total of 3 pages.

*Steve Roberts* 9-15-99  
(Signature) (Date)

Page 3 of 3

Initials: SRM